



University Budget Committee

Jeff Wilson, CFO & Vice President, Co-Chair

Amy Sueyoshi, Provost & Vice President, Co-Chair

UBC webpage: <https://adminfin.sfsu.edu/ubc>

Email: ubc@sfsu.edu

University Budget Committee Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date: Thursday, Aug 27, 2026, via Zoom

Voting Members present: Anjali Billa, Robert Keith Collins, David Hellman, Alex Hwu, Larry Low, Irina Okhremtchouk, Mary Menees, Jamillah Moore, Danny Gabriner, Tiffany O'Shaughnessy, Olivia Razaiarivelo, Isabel Seiden, Alesha Sohler, Genie Stowers, Amy Sueyoshi, Alaric Trousdale, Jackson Wilson, Jeff Wilson, Mike Young

Non-voting Members present: Deborah Elia, Christine Hintermann, Chanda Jensen, Katie Lynch, Lynn Mahoney, Cesar Mozo, Tammie Ridgell, Michael Scott, David Schachman, Jamil Sheared, Elena Stoian, Venesia Thompson-Ramsay

Members Absent: Emiliano Balistreri, Keith Benedict, Brad Erickson, Ashkan Forouhi, Tim Jenkins

Guest presenters: n/a

Meeting coordination: Nancy Ganner, Crystal Tam

Accompanying presentation can be found here: [UBC Presentation Aug. 27, 2026](#)

~~~~~  
UBC co-chairs called the meeting to order at approximately 10:03 A.M.

### Agenda topic – Welcome from Co-Chairs and Agenda review

- **Amy Sueyoshi and Jeff Wilson** welcomed committee members and guests.
- Reminded of ways to contact UBC including Office Hours.
- Welcomed incoming UBC members and new Steering Committee, noted open Faculty seat.

### Agenda topics – Member roll call, Meeting Minutes approval

- Quorum established.
- Minutes approved as submitted.

### Agenda topic – Remarks from President Mahoney

- **President Mahoney** acknowledged starting the year with good news in two ways: a healthy state budget and an increase in new students. Added the higher enrollment it didn't seem possible due to demographics but due to the hard work of many in strategic enrollment management planning, department chairs, academic advisors, faculty, and staff all the way to campus groundskeepers. Remarked SF is thriving and has received more accurate/positive representations in the press.
- Warned the hard work is not done, as graduating classes will be smaller moving forward. Reiterated the demographic decline will continue for the next few decades – SFSU is 30% smaller than it once was. Although focus is on enrollment, retention of current students is even more important.
- The CSU plans to reduce SFSU's state funding annually through reallocation plans. The state legislature ties two things to the CSU budget: the seven campuses that have experienced enrollment declines have to continue to submit multi-year [Fiscal Health Monitoring Plans](#) to demonstrate stabilizing enrollment, and how budgets are being reduced to reflect a smaller campus. The state budget has also added allocation to serve SoCal campuses better, where there are more students.

- SFSU will continue to advocate the 5% annual cut is too much (was reduced to 3% first two years of the three-year reallocation plan). 5% = approx. \$10M, and the campus is still absorbing the prior cuts.
- Encouraged a positive outlook for the higher enrollment this year and reminded there are still budget reductions needed to align with actual enrollment: SFSU will continue to engage in administrative cost savings (like the [SF Bay Region Network](#)), shared services with other CSU's, and reorganizing staff and integrating departments where possible.
- Reminded SFSU's curriculum needs to be reviewed, as students are saying that majors offered here for decades are not where their interests are, nor where workforce demand is leading. SFSU needs to continue to innovate and rethink programs.
- Recognized this hard work continues for everyone, and doesn't want to minimize the loss of lecturer faculty who have reduced or lost employment in this process.
- Celebrated the excitement of students on the Quad this first week of the semester; their average unit load is higher and graduation rates remain at or near all-time high.
- **Rob Collins** shared legislative advocacy has kicked off and asked if there are any new talking points she might add, aside from full funding, to enable student success.
- **President Mahoney** remarked the CSU and SFSU provide economic value to the state's workforce and future, and those values haven't changed. Shared she's been asked if SFSU's relationship to the city has changed, and it is constantly evolving; not only producing teachers, but also bioscience, AI-literate philosophers and more. Acknowledged less graduates, but they will offer economic, social and economic value. The state's budget shows this and advocacy is working.
- Shared hope for the CSU and unions to reach agreements this semester, as it doesn't help anyone when there's infighting - she supports compensation increases. The CSU mission hasn't changed but some have said its value was to become like a UC, who have different values.
- Addressed a post in the Chat about SF Mayor Lurie, who has been a big supporter of SFSU. The mayor supports both Vanderbilt and SFSU, and he regularly mentions the campus at events when highlighting its alum. A Guardian Scholar alum spoke at his inauguration, and his State of the City address included a childcare advocate's presentation who is also alum. Mayor Lurie also supported the direct admission program with SFUSD and CCSF. Even though the media is obsessed with the Vanderbilts, the Stanford's and the Cal's, Mayor Lurie understands the way to improve society is through SFSU.
- No further questions received.

#### Agenda topic – 2026-2027 Budget Update

- **Jeff Wilson** explained the CA governor released a state budget proposal in January, then a revision in May which is legislated in Sacramento and released over summer. At this first meeting of the academic year, he'll provide an overview of the state budget, how it comes to SFSU and impacts the university budget. Concluded that for several reasons, inflation among them, the '26-'27 budget is projecting a \$12.6M deficit as revenues are \$337.3M but costs are \$349.9M.
- **Elena Stoian** added to the SFSU General Operating Fund presentation noting a key message: the CSU received a substantial increase in state funding but SFSU's reoccurring resources are projected to decline, because the funding adjustments do not fully offset enrollment-related and other reductions.
- (see slides)
- **David Hellman** asked for the definition of one-time reserves – their source and composition.
- **Jeff Wilson** offered a deeper dive into reserves at a future UBC meeting, explaining they're primarily unspent funds that occurred in prior years. As they don't reoccur, referred to as "one-time" funds.

- **Tiffany O'Shaughnessy** asked for an acronym legend to be placed on slides. Also requested clarification; the general operating fund budget costs lists the deficit as \$12M, but expenses rose to \$14M while everything else remains consistent.
- **Jeff Wilson** replied the presentation mentions the large components are utilities, insurance and other operational needs. Inflation has driven up prices along with others expenses not within the university's control, such as utilities.
- **Genie Stowers** understands why the A&F allocation went down due to the SFBRN, and asked why Students Affairs also decreased so much.
- **Jeff Wilson** advised it's due to organizational changes: in 2025-2026, Enrollment Management became a separate cabinet.
- **Danny Gabriner** asked about any updates in the [Governor's compact](#) with the CSU and what might happen with the remaining years.
- **Jeff Wilson** noted the CSU will find out in January after a new CA Governor is elected. The compact was to end in year-five and there may be no interest in extending it under a new administration, which will also affect the year-four funding that wasn't fulfilled.
- **Alex Hwu** asked about the SFBRN budget that was a major part of the A&F budget; if each campus will manage their own SFBRN budget or if a combined effort. Also asked how the service level and effectiveness will be measured based on the budget allocated.
- **Jeff Wilson** explained the SFBRN being one entity is more complex than anticipated, including the budget, Service Level Agreements and managing across three campuses. The main components inside it now - ITS, Procurement, Human Resources, Accounting and Finance – those were all part of the A&F budget last year and that needs to be accounted for in the SFSU budget as it's still in the Operating budget. The other campuses are the same, but for this meeting the effort was to account for those costs. Budget conversations continue with SFBRN leaders and campus budget officers.
- No further questions received.

#### **Agenda topic – SFSU Budget 101**

- **Genie Stowers and Danny Gabriner** explained the presentation will focus on an overview of UBC, as there's a need for all to understand the resources developed from UBC for understanding the budget.
- (see slides)
- **Rob Collins** asked how to share this across campus, especially for colleagues who don't attend UBC.
- **Genie Stowers** replied this can be considered, starting with placing it on the UBC website.
- **Danny Gabriner** added meeting recordings are available after the meetings and it's possible to create a particular segment for this. Anyone can also reach out to any UBC member at any time to learn more.
- **Amy Sueyoshi** shared Alex Hwu's suggestion from the Chat: creating a UBC 101 YouTube video.
- **Jennifer Shea** commented that although attendance at UBC has been high in recent years, it may not be a great use of scarce resources. With more work being placed on faculty and staff, remarked that seeking budget resources outside of a normal workload seems unfair. Acknowledging the SEIU walk out at Convocation, stated there's a morale issue beyond budget related to personnel. Repeated President Mahoney's point that even with enrollment being up, it doesn't mean the hard work is over. With the SFBRN and all the work that has gone into it that has affected everyone, she receives an automated response that doesn't answer the request. Cost efficiency and actual effectiveness are different things.

- **Genie Stowers** responded it's true all that all (budget and personnel) have to exist together in the same space, and it's also important everyone understand what's happening. From her perspective, the alternative can be worse with incorrect information and not knowing who to trust. Shared UBC wants everyone to have accurate information, particularly when inundated with disinformation everywhere else. Appreciates the President's positive attitude so everyone can feel encouraged at least that enrollment is turning around. As a faculty member, she valued hearing this and recommended everyone has to brainstorm ways to improve morale. Advised to trust in colleagues and try to find ways to share the work to not pile it all on the same people. Appreciated Chat comments addressing this is "the elephant in the room", and why it's important to see over 300 attendees in these meetings.
- **Julie Chronister** expressed that as a chair, wants administration to consider how any chair can do their job in just eight hours/week.
- **Chanda Jensen** spoke to the UBC 101 presentation and "knowledge is power", and the more information that's shared with colleagues about transparency and understanding, the better it is for all.
- **Tiffany O'Shaughnessy** considered the human toll on budget cuts: Dept. chairs deal with accreditation and all the work that goes into a Chair role - it should be their only job. Seeing cuts to Chair time when Chair work is so critical to retention - to students having a positive experience - is shocking to her.
- **Jackson Wilson** considered the CSUEU walkout and suggested for a future meeting presentation measuring the impact on the budget and projections of deficit, if/when costs increase due to increases in compensation, and the transfer of the funding from central or the CSU (or not at all).
- No further questions received.

#### Agenda topic – Satellite Campus updates (RTC, DTC)

- **Michael Scott** provided an introduction to the SFSU downtown campus and updates on the Romberg-Tiburon campus, in the process of closing.
- (see slides)
- **Genie Stowers** clarified it was the MPA program at the downtown campus. They were asked to go downtown when the LFCoB MBA moved downtown and then-Provost at the time thought PACE a good partner for the MBA program. Students were told at the time they would not have to pay a fee, but when budget issues started, they did have to pay a fee. There was some university subsidy but MPA and MBA students have been paying hefty fees on their tuition in order to be downtown. They adopted a hybrid model to reduce the number of classrooms they were using to fit two classes in at the same time to afford it, and so students would not have to pay more. The downtown campus also lacked support; technical and otherwise. Programs were not always happy to be there.
- **Michael Scott** added it was expensive to be downtown and was one of the motivations for moving to the UC Law campus, as MBA fees weren't enough to cover the cost of the Spear Street location. Some of the instructional budget had to pay for the MBA program as it wasn't generating enough revenue.
- **Jackson Wilson** asked if MPA and MBA fees are covering the UC Law space now.
- **Michael Scott** replied the MPA team went to the Student Fee Advisory Committee and got the fee waived so as of this Fall, there are no fees. Accumulated fee funds in their account are being held for the MPA program. As for the MBA program, initial data suggests MBA enrollment is up significantly so there should be more than enough funding to cover the cost of the UC Law space.
- **Eugene Sivadas** added the current base fee for UC LAW is about \$17,000 a month with options to rent additional rooms per diem if needed. MBA fees are sufficient to have a small reserve as well.

- **Jennifer Shea** asked what the allowable expenses are for the MPA fee funds and if that will be decided soon, as the students are still enrolled.
- **Michael Scott** replied he doesn't know yet how flexible the funds are. Awaits guidance from accounting.
- **Michael Scott** also responded to a Chat comment about the RTC: its closure was timed with its major tenant sublease, the Smithsonian, ending September 30<sup>th</sup>.
- No further questions received.

#### Agenda topic – Cost of Instruction and VSIP final

- **Michael Scott** presented a final report on the VSIP that included FERP faculty.
- (see slides)
- **Jackson Wilson** commented there have been few tenure/tenure-track hires over the last three cycles, and none are anticipated for the next academic year.
- **Michael Scott** corrected there are active searches planned for accreditation, including Theater and Dance. Emphasized there's a need to think about growth and areas of need, such as hiring a new anatomist in biology because there's no teaching anatomy and that's one of the big pain points: all 300 pre-nursing students want to take an anatomy course, and this presents a challenge. Investing in areas of growth and trying to address student need will require hiring of some tenure/tenure track faculty every year going forward, but not replacing each one.
- **Amy Sueyoshi** added for context there were years when SFSU was hiring 30-50 new faculty annually, so this is drastic change with fewer students that impacts the budget. There is focus on adding faculty to high-demand programs as well as in areas that have needs based on accreditation. As an example, Nursing needed a PEDS position so they were hired.
- **Michael Scott** replied to Jenny's message in the Chat stating that if someone teaches one additional class – teaching 3 instead of 4 as tenured faculty, then tenure density goes up. Tenure density is a measure of tenured/tenure-track faculty to lecturers, so with less lecturers, tenure density goes up. As an example, even if the tenure density goes up, the money saved with tenured faculty teaching an additional fourth class every semester is significant: it would result in about \$1M with benefits and savings. 50 faculty is about 10% of the current faculty (there are 550 faculty right now).
- **Katie Murphy** asked about the percentage reduction in faculty FTE at LCA and LFCoB, as the percentage reduction in majors was cited.
- **Michael Scott** explained he doesn't have those specific numbers, but the percentage reduction in students is much greater than the percentage reduction in faculty in both colleges.
- No further questions received.

#### Agenda topic – Public Forum

- **Jennifer Shea** asked about the justification in chair time base reduction overall on campus, as some were reduced while others increased. Reductions went from 34 to 26 hours, a reduction of 8 hours overall. Understood the premise was on equity, but there have to be cost savings. Adjustments are being made and would like to understand how those decisions are being made.
- **Michael Scott** clarified the time base reduction went from 32.5% to 26 – a roughly a 20% drop. There was a 30% drop in student population so they reduced the time base by 20% before adding in accreditation and other issues. It'll be roughly 27% by the end.

- **Amy Sueyoshi** offered a time base presentation at another meeting. Asked a question on behalf of Maricel Santos: "if 10% of all faculty taught a fourth class, if that's a real metric being given to Deans that will shape RSCA exemptions so each college can actually award it. Asked what budgetary guidelines will shape release decisions". Answered the question - there is no cap this year. They will wait to see what the budgetary impact is, but there is no limit so everybody who's RSCA-active can get released from a fourth class.
- **Julie Chronister** asked for follow up on the Chair discussion for those who had reductions and are trying to plan, as some have had to justify their labor against a reduction.
- **Amy Sueyoshi** remarked decisions have been made about this year and it's still a work-in-progress, as adjustments are made and enrollment factors are considered. Asked Carleen to respond.
- **Lori Beth Way** added there is adjustment for accreditation and some chairs would be affected by this – unsure if Carleen has had the opportunity to tell Chairs.
- **Andreanna Clay** replied there will be a response to this today.
- **Julie Chronister** reiterated if the plan is to add a point to assigned time for accreditation, then it's not part of the time base for a Chair.
- **Amy Sueyoshi** agreed because not all chairs are doing accreditation - sometimes that's given to someone who's not Chair.
- **Julie Chronister** added if after the review, it's realized that some Chairs do accreditation and they're boosted from a .2 to a .4, it's not part of the salary base.
- **Michael Scott** summarized it will be just like a .4 Chair base with the same job code: the same 12-month appointment for accreditation - it'll just go from .2 to .4.
- **Julie Chronister** confirmed it'll be the Chair salary, not any additional. Added that RSCA decisions feel very top-down: all other CSUs are doing this or teaching 4:4 but she understood SFSU's RTP guidelines were guiding the requirements for RSCA. There was a selection of what RTP criteria guidelines were used, not the actual RTP criteria. Wondered why that process occurred.
- **Amy Sueyoshi** acknowledged many are worried whether their research or creative activity will be recognized, and others who prefer any RSCA over teaching a fourth class (and those who believe non-RSCA faculty just have to teach a fourth class). They're trying to evaluate what's 'active' – if someone writes one book review, then it's "active" and should receive two years of course release. Through shared governance, there's consideration if one book review is applicable to getting two years course release. This is how some departments might see this as a criteria change: some colleges were able to do college-wide RSCA guidelines and others did department-specific ones. They have to take into account the criteria for each department and how it's comparable for release time. Recognized this is the first year evaluating this, and answering Maricel's Chat comment: it's dependent on budget, so they'll see what the implications are and then see what the budget can accommodate.
- **Jennifer Shea** added there has to be a rubric, as it's unclear how things will be rated. There's skepticism among some faculty about this process and clarity is needed.
- **Amy Sueyoshi** summarized not everyone who submits a RSCA application will be approved. It's based on the accountability as to whether someone has fulfilled the criteria to be RSCA-active.
- No further questions received.

**Co-Chairs adjourned the meeting approximately 12:00 PM.**

- Next UBC meeting: Thursday, September 24, 2026 from 10:00 AM – 12:00 PM via Zoom

-end (nrg)

### **From the Chat:**

- From Member, Rob K Collins: Good morning!
- From Frances Wilkerson: I wish it was!
- From co-chair Amy Sueyoshi: Associated Students' Instagram account is hype-ing up our campus! Instagram
- From Arno Puder: How come Daniel Lourie is praising Vanderbilt but has not been to SFSU (to my knowledge)?
- From leyla ozsen: Our students run this city 😊
- From Matt Itelson: Replying to "How come Daniel Lourie is praising Vanderbilt but ..." He came to campus when he was running for mayor.
- From Member Genie Stowers (she/her) on Bay Miwok lands: Replying to "Our students run this city 😊": and, as a faculty member in the Master of Public Administration program, I can tell you this is literally true-- our MPA grads are everywhere through the City! as well as those from other programs.
- From Lynn Mahoney: Replying to "How come Daniel Lourie is praising Vanderbilt but ...": And several other times, too! And his right hand person is an alum!
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): He also put a police command center in the Mission and the expenses and failures were well documented by the SF Standard.
- From Matt Itelson: Replying to "He also put a police command center in the Mission...": Is this the surveillance funded by Chris Larsen?
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): Not sure who paid for it, but probably us, the taxpayers in the city/county
- From Chloe Pearson: Replying to "Our students run this city 😊": It's true! It feels like everywhere I go I run into SFSU Alumni, from my optometrist (Shout out Kurtbay Optometry lol) to SF Toyota!
- From Member Christine Hintermann: Replying to "He also put a police command center in the Mission...": San Francisco police drone fleet set to grow after \$9.4 million gift
- From Matt Itelson: Replying to "He also put a police command center in the Mission...": Oof
- From Member Christine Hintermann: Replying to "Not sure who paid for it, but probably us, the tax...": It was a \$9.4 million "gift"
- From Matt Itelson: Replying to "He also put a police command center in the Mission...": Larsen is SFSU alumnus, too!
- From Member Anjali Billa (Member): can i just share that in addition the excellent data on these slides, they are also beautifully made. Kudos to who created these slides!
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): Replying to "Not sure who paid for it, but probably us, the tax...": I just know that walking by every day, it was fascinating to see the "fentanyl fold-over" folks right in front of the Command Center. And the time I went to get help for somebody who was passed out in the street and under a parked care they told me to call dispatch.
- From Member, Isabel Seiden: is there a color key for the pie chart slide?
- From Member Danny Paz Gabriner: The larger Purple looks like State Allocation, light purple is Fees and tuition, and the orange I believe is Other
- From Member, Isabel Seiden: Replying to "The larger Purple looks like State Allocation, lig...": thanks, Danny!
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): State Fund - Dark Purple
- From Member, Isabel Seiden: Replying to "Not sure who paid for it, but probably us, the tax...": Always wild watching SFPD officers supervise illicit drug sales and use

- From Member, Isabel Seiden: Replying to "can i just share that in addition the excellent da...": I was just thinking they look like ChatGPT made them 😊
- From Member, Tiffany O'Shaughnessy, PhD (she/her): Thank you, very helpful!
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): Suggestion on the legend and acronyms - create a web page or other publicly accessible document that you can link to each and every time, since attendance at these UBC meetings is varied, and people may come in and out
- From Member Danny Paz Gabriner: EM got taken out of SAEM and is its own line now
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): EM now is standing along budget unit
- From Member Jamillah Moore: Yes, EM was removed from SA.
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): Moving out of SA
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): Same as SFBRN (ITs, HR and Others) moving out of A&F
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): Thank you Jeff and Elena on managing SFBRN budget plus the whole complexity
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): Agree - Genie
- From Nancy Ganner: Office Hours are open to Staff, Faculty, MPPs. Email [ubc@sfsu.edu](mailto:ubc@sfsu.edu) to get the zoom link. Fridays after the UBC meeting, 11AM - 12PM
- From Member Mary Menees: Great presentation. Genie and Danny! Thank you
- From Jennifer Daubenmier: Thank you! Can you drop the main link in the chat? Thanks ...
- From Nancy Ganner: These slides will be posted to the UBC website after the meeting
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): A budget 101 YouTube video
- From Member Danny Paz Gabriner: University Budget Committee | Administration & Finance
- From Julie Chronister (she/her): Thank you Jennifer for these very important comments.
- From Julie Chronister (she/her): The university is cutting chair time, increasing workload, and doing staff re-organization which directly and harmfully impacts our workload
- From Member, Isabel Seiden: Replying to "The university is cutting chair time, increasing w...": All of these cuts are going to affect the student experience also. You're asking students to pay higher tuition for less and less. That's not going to help retention.
- From Julie Chronister (she/her): The university is now asking faculty to do extra work to get time to do their scholarship in addition to the intensity of the RTP process during the first six years. Now faculty have to do extra work to ask for time to do their work.
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): Participating UBC is voluntary not an additional assignment/workload
- From Member David Hellman: A state budget that relies on the growth and financial health of AI 😊
- From Chloe Pearson: Is there a way to view budget breakdowns by department or is there a rule against that?
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): Alex - As faculty, we are expected, explicitly, to do University service. It is workload, whether assigned or not.
- From Katie Murphy: At the end of the day, having transparency about budgets doesn't counteract the power imbalances baked into the structure of the University and its budget processes.
- From Member Danny Paz Gabriner: Replying to "Is there a way to view budget breakdowns by depart...": <https://sfsu.openbook.questica.com/> 2025-26 is in but 2026-27 should be in soon
- From Chloe Pearson: Replying to "Is there a way to view budget breakdowns by depart...": Thank you!
- From co-chair Amy Sueyoshi: Replying to "Is there a way to view budget breakdowns by depart...": No rule against it!
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): It would be helpful, for the sake of transparency, to know why the decision was made to reduce the SFSU time base from 34 to 26 was made, what the cost savings are, and how those cost savings are being returned to the respective Colleges

- From Nancy Ganner: We'll be back at 11:17AM. We have a public forum at the end that invites any-topic comments. Thank you
- From co-chair Amy Sueyoshi: Replying to "It would be helpful, for the sake of transparency,...": We can do a future presentation on chair time base!
- From Tony Robbins: I just want to put this in the notes: I'm an ASC-II and my co-worker is an AAS-I and in the new PD's given by the SFBRN I am expected to learn and do the same work....but I will not be reclassified nor given a pay compensation.
- From Genie Stowers (she/her) on Bay Miwok lands: Not PACE, just Public Administration (MPA)
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): But the DTC tenants paid rent
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): For CEL that time is about \$2M
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): Now CPaGE DTC to CCSF for \$50K annual rent 2 classrooms and 1 office
- From Sepideh Modrek: Those HEI/CREGS bills were paid for partially because of because of federal contracts for community based studies. HEI/CREG has not had any downtown space in years.
- From Genie Stowers (she/her) on Bay Miwok lands: Please note MPA and mba students all paid large fees to pay the rent- MPA was not subsidized by the university.
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): The MPA Program was coerced into moving there under the University Administration at the time. And we were promised that we wouldn't have to pass costs along to our students, then they made us charge students an additional fee
- From Katie Murphy: To give credit where it's due, the Convocation walkout was a cross-labor action, featuring 4 different unions.
- From Janet Remolona: LFCOB Graduate Business Programs @UC Law SF
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): Thank you, Katie. I appreciate the correction!
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): For the record, it was only MPA that was at the DTC, no other PACE programs were, even under PACE 1.0, when we were much larger. Only the MPA students paid the extra fee
- From Sepideh Modrek: Replying to "The MPA Program was coerced into moving there unde...": My understanding is that it was something similar for HEI.
- From Tony Robbins: I thought the Satellite Campus updates (RTC, DTC) was about plans for students to get a remote degree. Now I see it is a money saving move.
- From co-chair Amy Sueyoshi: Replying to "I thought the Satellite Campus updates (RTC, DTC) ...": UBC presentations will always be connected to the budget. We do offer a number of remote degrees that are growing in CPAGE.
- From Frances Wilkerson: At RTC, very little coming back to campus- its 2 faculty.
- From Member Michael Scott: 2 faculty and Kathy Boyer
- From Member Michael Scott: And all the staff associated with SFBay NERR
- From Katharyn Boyer: Kathy as emeritus.
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): MPA went hybrid in 2014! My colleagues and I published on the pedagogy back then
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): Back to the main campus - and we are thrilled. 31 newly matriculated students this semester
- From Katharyn Boyer: Yes
- From Katharyn Boyer: Moved already
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): Thank you for naming that, Michael. MPA has a surplus of those student fees. I've reached out to you and our CBO to ask what allowable charges can be to those funds, since they are highly restricted and need to benefit MPA students.
- From Eugene Sivasdas: Yes.LFCOB will more than cover the costs.
- From Hamid Ghaemmaghami: UC Law tenancy is a direct lease not a sublease.

- From Frances Wilkerson: Interesting time for them to close RTC- during summer while most people on vacation or in midst of fieldwork
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): @ Frances Wilkerson - There was discussion about the closing of RTC last year, both at UBC and at the University Space Committee
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): CPaGE will pay 1.2M for relocating back to SEIC for the next 17 yrs
- From co-chair Amy Sueyoshi: Replying to "CPaGE will pay 1.2M for relocating back to SEIC fo...": I think you meant you are paying to "rent" the new science building. Correct?
- From Katharyn Boyer: Yes, RTC was a subject several times. A foundation paid all the staff and facilities salaries for last fiscal year and negotiated with the university for 10 months to take on the site, but that effort did not succeed.
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): Replying to "CPaGE will pay 1.2M for relocating back to SEIC fo...": It is bond payment
- From Member Alex Hwu (he/him/his): Replying to "CPaGE will pay 1.2M for relocating back to SEIC fo...": After 17 yrs we will be done and no more payment to stay on main campus
- From Katie Murphy: What was the percentage reduction of faculty FTE in LFCoB and LCA from 2018 to 2025?
- From Jennifer Waller: How many departments in CoB and how many departments in LCA?
- From Benjamin Huynh: Replying to "CPaGE will pay 1.2M for relocating back to SEIC fo...": 1.2M total or per year for 17 years?
- From Member, Tiffany O'Shaughnessy, PhD (she/her): We should be so proud of our high tenure track density. I hope we can keep fighting for that to stay high.
- From Eugene Sivadas: 8 departments in LFCoB. There were 9 but two merged.
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): I saw Graduate Studies Dean Sophie Clavier the other day and she said Graduate enrollments are up by 11%
- From Sheldon Gen: We have 7 TT searches this fall
- From Nancy Ganner: Public forum will open in a min - please bring your any-topic questions. Thanks
- From Elaine Feng: Replying to "CPaGE will pay 1.2M for relocating back to SEIC fo...": \$1.2M per year
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): In which departments, Sheldon?
- From Aaron Kerner - Director of the School of Cinema: There was never call for searches
- From Aaron Kerner - Director of the School of Cinema: ???
- From Jenny Lederer: Question from non-member: how will the T/TT move to 4-4 next year affect the tenure density number? Is there a future presentation coming about the budgetary effects of the move to 4-4
- From Sheldon Gen: Replying to "In which departments, Sheldon?": Biology, Ethnic Studies, Library, Nursing, Psychology, Special Ed, Theater and Dance
- From Jennifer Shea (she/her): Thank you, Sheldon
- From Jenny Lederer: Question from non-member: How much money have we saved over the last two years since LCA very significantly increased course caps and course minimum thresholds?
- From Maricel G. Santos: I am not able to unmute but I have a question about what Michael just said about the cost savings if ~10% of all faculty taught a 4th class. Is that a real metric being given to Deans that will shape the # RSCA exemptions each college can actually award? What budgetary guidelines will shape these release decisions?
- From Jenny Lederer: Replying to "Question from non-member: How much money have we s...": Pulling the numbers on this change specifically would be very helpful.
- From Nancy Ganner: UBC always invites your feedback - direct to [ubc@sfsu](mailto:ubc@sfsu) for Steering Committee or any other comments. Please attend Office Hours tomorrow, 11am - 12pm via Zoom. RSVP to [ubc@sfsu](mailto:ubc@sfsu)

- *From Maricel G. Santos: Thanks, Provost Sueyoshi. Glad to hear there's no cap but the FA guidelines indicate that the # of awards are dependent on budgetary needs. More clarification would be useful and assuring to faculty applying. I'll follow up offline. Thanks.*
- *From Rex McIntyre: Thank you again for letting students like myself observe these meetings.*
- *From Member Jamillah Moore: Thank you!*
- *From Timothy Cho: Thank you*